arXiv:1502.02602v2 [math.CO] 11 Feb 2015

Small dense subgraphs of a graph

Tao Jiang* Andrew Newman †

February 10, 2015

Abstract

Given a family $\mathcal{F}$ of graphs, and a positive integer n, the Turán number $ex(n,\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is the maximum number of edges in an n-vertex graph that does not contain any member of $\mathcal{F}$ as a subgraph. The order of a graph is the number of vertices in it. In this paper, we study the Turán number of the family of graphs with bounded order and high average degree. For every real $d \geq 2$ and positive integer $m \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{F}_{d,m}$ denote the family of graphs on at most m vertices that have average degree at least d. It follows from the Erdős-Rényi bound that $ex(n,\mathcal{F}_{d,m}) = \Omega(n^{2-\frac{2}{d}+\frac{c}{dm}})$, for some positive constant c. Verstraëte [15] asked if it is true that for each fixed d there exists a function $\epsilon_d(m)$ that tends to 0 as $m \to \infty$ such that $ex(n,\mathcal{F}_{d,m}) = O(n^{2-\frac{2}{d}+\epsilon_d(m)})$. We answer Verstraëte's question in the affirmative whenever d is an integer. We also prove an extension of the cube theorem on the Turán number of the cube Q_3, which partially answers a question of Pinchasi and Sharir [11].

1 Introduction

We use standard notations. For undefined notations, the reader is referred to [16]. In particular, the number of vertices and the number of edges of a graph H are denoted by $n(H)$ and $e(H)$, respectively. Given a family $\mathcal{F}$ of graphs, and a positive integer n, the Turán number $ex(n,\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is the maximum number of edges in an n-vertex graph that does not contain any member of $\mathcal{F}$ as a subgraph. When $\mathcal{F}$ consists of a single graph H, we write $ex(n,H)$ for $ex(n,\{H\})$. The study of Turán numbers plays a central role in extremal graph theory. The celebrated Erdős-Simonovits-Stone theorem determines $ex(n,\mathcal{L})$ asymptotically for any family $\mathcal{L}$ of non-bipartite graphs. However, the problem of determining $ex(n,\mathcal{L})$ when $\mathcal{L}$ contains a bipartite graph is largely open with few exceptions. There are many interesting open problems concerning the Turán numbers of bipartite graphs. We refer interested readers to the excellent recent survey by Füredi and Simonovits [9]. The following general lower bound on $ex(n,\mathcal{F})$ can be easily verified using the first moment method.

---

[*] Department of Mathematics, Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056, USA. E-mail: jiangt@miamioh.edu. Research supported in part by Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant #282906 and by National Science Foundation grant DMS-1400249. Part of the research was conducted while the author was visiting Institut Mittag-Leffler (Djursholm, Sweden) during its special semester on graphs, hypergraphs, and computing, whose hospitality is gratefully acknowledged.

[†] Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, newman.534@osu.edu.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C35.

Key Words: Turan number, Turan exponent, extremal function, cube.

Theorem 1.1 (Erdős-Rényi bound, see [9] Theorem 2.26) *Let* $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_t\}$ *be a family of graphs, and let* $c = \max_j \min_{H \subseteq F_j} \frac{n(H)}{e(H)}$ *and* $\gamma = \max_j \min_{H \subseteq L_j} \frac{n(H)-2}{e(H)-1}$. *Then there exists a positive constant* $c_{\mathcal{F}}$ *depending on* $\mathcal{F}$ *such that* $ex(n, \mathcal{F}) > c_{\mathcal{F}} n^{2-\gamma} \geq c_{\mathcal{F}} n^{2-c}$.

Given a graph H, let $d(H)$ denote the average degree of H. For each positive real d, and positive integer m, let

$$\mathcal{F}_{d,m} = \{H : d(H) \geq d, n(H) \leq m\}.$$

Motivated by applications to coding theory and combinatorial number theory [7], Verstraëte [15] proposed the study of $ex(n, \mathcal{F}_{d,m})$. Additionally, the study of $ex(n, \mathcal{F}_{d,m})$ may be viewed as a natural generalization of the girth problem. Indeed, $ex(n, \mathcal{F}_{2,m})$ is precisely the maximum number of edges in an n-vertex graph that does not contain any cycle of length at most m. The following lower bound on $ex(n, \mathcal{F}_{d,m})$ follows immediately from Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 1.2 *Let* $d \geq 2$ *be a real and* $m \geq 2$ *an integer. Then there exists a positive constant* c *such that*

$$ex(n, \mathcal{F}_{d,m}) \geq cn^{2-\frac{m-2}{dm/2-1}} > cn^{2-\frac{2}{d}+\frac{2}{dm}}.$$

Verstraëte [15] asked the following question.

Question 1.3 [15] *For each fixed real* $d \geq 2$, *is it true that there exists a function* $\epsilon_d(m)$ *such that* $\epsilon_d(m) \to 0$ *as* $m \to \infty$ *and* $ex(n, \mathcal{F}_{d,m}) = O(n^{2-\frac{2}{d}+\epsilon_d(m)})$*?*

As the main result of the paper, we give an affirmative answer to Question 1.3 whenever $d \geq 2$ is an integer. Furthermore, for even integers d, we show that the answer is affirmative even when $\mathcal{F}_{d,m}$ is replaced with the more restrictive family $\mathcal{G}_{d,m} = \{H : \delta(H) \geq d, n(H) \leq m\}$, where $\delta(H)$ denotes the minimum degree of H. Finally, we prove an extension of the cube theorem, which partially answers a question of Pinchasi and Sharir [11].

2 Overview

One main idea is to use supersaturation of certain subgraphs H (which we may view as building blocks) to force members of $\mathcal{F}(d, m)$ when the host graph G is dense enough. For the even $d = 2t$ case, the building blocks we use are $K_{t,t}$'s. For the odd $d = 2t + 1$ case, the building blocks we use are graphs which we denote by $H_{t,t}$, which is a graph obtained by joining two copies of $K_{t,t}$ using a matching. For our supersaturation arguments, it is more convenient to view it as joining two vertex disjoint t-matchings in a fashion like $K_{t,t}$ but with edges joined only between vertices in opposite partite sets.

Now, after probing into the idea further, one would realize that supersaturation of H alone will not give us any structure among the copies of H to build members of $\mathcal{F}_{d,m}$. A second main idea is to introduce some local sparseness, which luckily can be easily accomplished (since otherwise we can already get certain member of $\mathcal{F}_{d,m}$). Once we have the local sparseness, we can apply a random splitting procedure to generate a useful layered structure of the host graph G. Then we use the notion of goodness and the usual Breadth-first search expansion argument along this pre-designed layered structure to force a member of $\mathcal{F}(d, m)$.

Our slight generalization of the cube theorem establishes that $ex(n, H_{t,t}) = O(n^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}})$. The main techniques are centered around analyzing the average behavior of the common neighborhood of a t-matching through the count of C_4's and other structures. We build on Pinchasi and Sharir's new proof [11] of the cube theorem as well as the regularization arguments in the original proof of Erdős and Simonovits [8].

We will pose related questions on both topics in the concluding remarks.

3 Goodness

A main notion used in our proofs is that of goodness of a vertex. This notion of goodness is in part inspired by works in [4], [2], and [3].

Definition 3.1 Let h be a positive integer. Let G be a graph with average degree D. We define a vertex x in G to be $(h,1)$-good if $d(x) \geq \frac{1}{3^h}D$. In general, for $i = 2, \ldots, h$, we define a vertex x to be (h,i)-*good* if it is $(h,1)$-good and at least half of its neighbors are $(h, i-1)$-good. A vertex that is not (h,i)-good is called (h,i)-*bad*.

Remark 3.2 Let h be a positive integer and G a graph. For each $i = 1, \ldots, h$, let $\mathcal{A}_i$ denote the set of (h,i)-good vertices in G and $\mathcal{B}_i$ the set of (h,i)-bad vertices in G. If follows from induction that any (h,i)-good vertex is also (h,j)-good for all $1 \leq j \leq i$. So, $\mathcal{A}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{A}_2 \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{A}_h$ and $\mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2 \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{B}_h$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let h be a positive integer and G a graph. For each $i \in [h]$, let $\mathcal{A}_i$ and $\mathcal{B}_i$ denote the set of (h,i)-good and the set of (h,i)-bad vertices, respectively. Then $\sum_{x\in\mathcal{B}_h} d(x) \leq \frac{2}{3}e(G)$ and $\sum_{x\in\mathcal{A}_h} d(x) \geq \frac{4}{3}e(G)$.*

Proof. For each $i = 1, \ldots, h$, let $s_i = \sum_{x\in\mathcal{B}_i} d(x)$. We use induction of i to show for each $i = 1, \ldots, h$ we have $s_i \leq \frac{2e(G)}{3^{h-i+1}}$. Let D denote the average degree of G. Then $D = \frac{2e(G)}{n}$, where n is the number of vertices in G. By the definition of $\mathcal{B}_1$, we have $s_1 \leq n\frac{D}{3^h} = \frac{2e(G)}{3^h}$. So the claim holds. Let $2 \leq i \leq h$ and suppose that $s_{i-1} \leq \frac{2e(G)}{3^{h-i+2}}$. Let μ denote the number of ordered pairs (x, x') such that $x \in \mathcal{B}_i \setminus \mathcal{B}_{i-1}$, $x' \in N(x)$, and that $x' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}$. Since $x \notin \mathcal{B}_1$, x is $(h,1)$-good. But $x \in \mathcal{B}_i$. So by definition, at least half of the neighbors of x are $(h, i-1)$-bad. Hence $\mu \geq \sum_{x\in\mathcal{B}_i\setminus\mathcal{B}_{i-1}} \frac{1}{2}d(x)$. So, $\sum_{x\in\mathcal{B}_i\setminus\mathcal{B}_{i-1}} d(x) \leq 2\mu$. On the other hand, if we count the pairs (x, x') by x', then clearly $\mu \leq \sum_{x'\in\mathcal{B}_{i-1}} d(x') = s_{i-1}$. Hence $\sum_{x\in\mathcal{B}_i\setminus\mathcal{B}_{i-1}} d(x) \leq 2\mu \leq 2s_{i-1}$. Therefore,

$$s_i = \sum_{x\in\mathcal{B}_i\setminus\mathcal{B}_{i-1}} d(x) + \sum_{x\in\mathcal{B}_{i-1}} d(x) \leq 2s_{i-1} + s_{i-1} = 3s_{i-1} \leq \frac{2e(G)}{3^{h-i+1}}.$$

So we have $\sum_{x\in\mathcal{B}_h} d(x) \leq \frac{2e(G)}{3}$. Since $\sum_{x\in V(G)} d(x) = 2e(G)$ and $\mathcal{A}_h$ and $\mathcal{B}_h$ partition $V(G)$, we have $\sum_{x\in\mathcal{A}_h} d(x) \geq \frac{4e(G)}{3}$. This completes the proof. ■

We will sometimes use the following lemma to lower bound a binomial coefficient. Other times, we may use more standard approximations.

Lemma 3.4 *Let x, m be positive integers where $x \geq m^2$. Then $\binom{x}{m} \geq \frac{x^m}{2m!}$.*

Proof. We have $\binom{x}{m} = \frac{x(x-1)\cdots(x-m+1)}{m!} \geq \frac{x^m}{m!}e^{-\frac{1}{x}}\cdots e^{-\frac{m-1}{x}} \geq \frac{x^m}{m!}e^{-\frac{\binom{m}{2}}{x}} > \frac{x^m e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{m!} > \frac{x^m}{2m!}$. ■

4 Even case

In this section, for convenience, we always assume $t \geq 2$. Given a graph G and a set S of vertices in G, we define the *common neighborhood* of S, denoted by $N^*(S)$, to be $N^*(S) = \bigcap_{v\in S} N(v)$. Let $d^*(S) = |N^*(S)|$ and call it the *common degree* of S.

We need the following proposition on the supersaturation of $K_{t,t}$'s in dense graphs. The topic is well-studied, we only give a very rough version of such a supersaturation statement.

Proposition 4.1 *Let $t \geq 2$ be an integer. Let G be a graph with n vertices and $E \geq tn^{2-\frac{1}{t}}$ edges, where $n \geq t^2$. Then the number of $K_{t,t}$'s in G is at least $c_t \frac{E^{t^2}}{n^{2t^2-2t}}$, where $c_t = \frac{2^{t^2-t-3}}{(t!)^2}$.*

Proof. Let λ denote the number of $K_{1,t}$'s in G. Then $\lambda = \sum_{x\in V(G)} \binom{d(x)}{t}$. Let D denote the average degree of G. Then $D = \frac{2E}{n} \geq 2tn^{1-\frac{1}{t}}$. It is easy to see by our assumption that $D \geq t^2$. By convexity and Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\lambda \geq n\binom{D}{t} \geq n\frac{D^t}{2t!} = \frac{n(\frac{2E}{n})^t}{2t!} = \frac{2^{t-1}E^t}{t!n^{t-1}}.$$

Let D^* denote the average common degree of S over all t-sets in G. Note that $\sum_{S\in\binom{V(G)}{t}} d^*(S) = \lambda$, as both count the number of pairs (v, S) where $|S| = t$ and $v \in N^*(S)$. So

$$D^* = \frac{\lambda}{\binom{n}{t}} \geq \frac{\lambda}{n^t/t!} \geq \frac{2^{t-1}E^t}{t!n^{t-1}}\frac{t!}{n^t} = \frac{2^{t-1}E^t}{n^{2t-1}}.$$

Since $E \geq tn^{2-\frac{1}{t}}$, one can check that $D^* \geq t^2$.

Let μ denote the number of $K_{t,t}$'s in G. Then $\mu \geq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{S\in\binom{V(G)}{t}} \binom{d^*(S)}{t}$. Using convexity and Lemma 3.4, we get

$$\mu \geq \frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{t}\binom{D^*}{t} \geq \frac{1}{2}\frac{n^t}{2t!}\frac{(D^*)^t}{2t!} \geq \frac{1}{2}\frac{n^t}{2t!}\frac{2^{t^2-t}E^{t^2}}{2t!n^{2t^2-t}} = \frac{2^{t^2-t-3}}{(t!)^2}\frac{E^{t^2}}{n^{2t^2-2t}}.$$

■

For brevity, we call a t-uniform hypergraph a *t-graph.* A *matching* in a hypergraph is a set of pairwise vertex disjoint edges.

Lemma 4.2 *Let H be a t-graph in which each vertex lies in at most D edges. Then H contains a matching of size at least $e(H)/tD$.*

Proof. Let M be a maximum matching in H. Then each edge of H must intersect $V(M)$. On the other hand, each vertex in $V(M)$ is contained in at most D edges of H. So $e(H) \leq D|V(M)| = tD|M|$, which yields $|M| \geq e(H)/tD$. ■

Lemma 4.3 *Let m, t be positive integers. Let H be a t-graph with at least $\binom{m}{t}$ edges. There exists a collection of edges $E_1, \ldots, E_p$, where $p \le m - t + 1$, such that $|\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} E_i| \ge m$.*

Proof. Let E_1 be any edge in H. Let $1 \le i \le m - t$. Suppose $E_1, \ldots, E_i$ have been chosen. If $|\bigcup_{j=1}^{i} E_j| \ge m$ then we are done. If $|\bigcup_{j=1}^{i} E_j| < m$, then we let E_{i+1} be any edge of H not completely contained in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{i} E_i$. Such an edge E_{i+1} exists since H has at least $\binom{m}{t}$ edges. Since each new edge after E_1 added to the collection involves at least one new vertex, in at most $m - t + 1$ steps, the union of the selected edges will have size at least m. ■

The following splitting lemma plays a crucial role in our proof of the main theorem for the even case. Even though one can get better constants without using this splitting lemma, the presentation would be much cleaner using the splitting lemma. Recall that by Chernoff's inequality, for a binomially distributed variable variable $X \in Bin(n, p)$ we have $\mathbb{P}(|X - E(X)| \ge \lambda E(X)) \le 2e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{3}E(X)}$, as long as $\lambda \le 3/2$ (see ([10] Corollary 2.3).

Definition 4.4 Given a graph G, let $K_{t,t}(G)$ denote the auxiliary graph whose vertices are t-sets of $V(G)$ such that two vertices u, v are adjacent if and only if the two t-sets they correspond to in G form the two parts of a copy of $K_{t,t}$ in G. For fixed positive integers h, i, where $h \ge i$, we say that a t-set S in G is (h, i)-*good* in G if the vertex representing it in $K_{t,t}(G)$ is (h, i)-good in $K_{t,t}(G)$. Note that, as before, if S is (h, i)-good, then it is also (h, j)-good for every $1 \le j \le i$.

Lemma 4.5 *Let $h, t \ge 2$ be integers and b, ϵ positive reals, where $b \ge 1$. There is a constant $c = c(h, t, b)$ such that the following holds. Let G be an n-vertex graph with $E \ge cn^{2-\frac{1}{t}+\epsilon}$ edges, where n satistifies $n^{\epsilon t} > 6t\ln(2hn)$. Then there exists a partition of $V(G)$ into sets $L_1, \ldots, L_h$ such that for every t-set S in G and for every $i, j \in [h]$ if S is (h, i)-good then $N^*(S) \cap L_j$ contains at least $bn^{\epsilon t}$ pairwise vertex disjoint $(h, i-1)$-good t-sets. Also, some L_i contains an (h, h)-good t-set.*

Proof. Choose c so that $\frac{c^{t^2}}{3^h} > (4bt^2h^t)^t$. For convenience, let $H = K_{t,t}(G)$. By definition, $n(H) = \binom{n}{t}$. By Lemma 4.1, with $c_t = \frac{2^{t^2-t-3}}{(t!)^2}$, we have

$$e(H) \ge c_t \frac{E^{t^2}}{n^{2t^2-2t}} \ge c_t \frac{(cn^{2-\frac{1}{t}+\epsilon})^{t^2}}{n^{2t^2-2t}} = \frac{2^{t^2-t-3}c^{t^2}}{(t!)^2} n^{t+\epsilon t^2}.$$

Hence $d(H) = 2e(H)/\binom{n}{t} \ge \frac{2^{t^2-t-2}c^{t^2}}{t!} n^{\epsilon t^2} > \frac{c^{t^2}}{t!} n^{\epsilon t^2}$.

Let S be any (h, i)-good t-set in G, where $1 \le i \le h$. Let v denote the corresponding vertex in H. By definition, v is (h, i)-good in H. So, $d_H(v) \ge \frac{d(H)}{3^h} \ge \frac{c^{t^2} n^{\epsilon t^2}}{3^h t!}$. Let $a = 4bt^2h^t$. By our assumption, $\frac{c^{t^2}}{3^h} \ge a^t$. So, $d_H(v) \ge \binom{an^{\epsilon t}}{t}$. Note that $N_H(v)$ corresponds to precisely $\binom{N^*_G(S)}{t}$. Hence $d^*_G(S) = |N^*_G(S)| \ge an^{\epsilon t}$. By definition, at least half of the members of $\binom{N^*_G(S)}{t}$ are $(h, i-1)$-good. By Lemma 4.2, among these t-sets there exists a matching M_S of size at least

$$\frac{1}{2}\binom{d^*(S)}{t} / t\binom{d^*(S)-1}{t-1} \ge \frac{d^*(S)}{2t^2} \ge \frac{an^{\epsilon t}}{2t^2} = 2bh^t n^{\epsilon t}.$$

We have shown that for any (h, i)-good t-set S in G, we can fix a matching M_S of $(h, i-1)$-good t-sets in $N^*_G(S)$ of size at least $2bh^t n^{\epsilon t}$.

Now, independently and uniformly at random assign a color from $\{1,\dots,h\}$ to each vertex of G. Fix any $i \in [h]$ and any (h,i)-good (but not $(h,i+1)$-good if $i \leq h-1$) t-set S. Let $X_{S,j}$ count the number of $(h,i-1)$-good sets T in M_S whose vertices all received color j. Since edges in M_S are pairwise vertex disjoint and each edge is monochromatic in j with probability $\frac{1}{h^t}$, $X_{S,j} \in Bin(|M_S|, \frac{1}{h^t})$. So, $\mathbb{E}(X_{S,j}) = \frac{|M_S|}{h^t}$ and by the Chernoff bound, $\mathbb{P}(X_{S,j} < \frac{|M_S|}{2h^t}) \leq 2e^{-\frac{1}{12}\frac{|M_S|}{h^t}} \leq 2e^{-\frac{bn^{\epsilon t}}{6}}$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}(\exists S, j : X_{S,j} < \frac{|M_S|}{2h^t}) < 2hn^te^{-\frac{bn^{\epsilon t}}{6}} < 2hn^te^{-\frac{n^{\epsilon t}}{6}} < \frac{1}{h^{t-1}}$. where one can check that the last inequality holds when $n^{\epsilon t} > 6t\ln(2hn)$,

Next, note that by Lemma 3.3, H contains at least one (h,h)-good vertex. Hence, G has at least one (h,h)-good t-set U. The probability that U is monochromatic is $\frac{h}{h^t} = \frac{1}{h^{t-1}}$. This combined with earlier discussion shows that there exists a coloring for which $\forall S, j$ we have $X_{S,j} \geq \frac{|M_S|}{2h^t} \geq bn^{\epsilon t}$ and U is monochromatic. For each $i \in [h]$, let L_i denote color class i. The claim follows. ∎

Now we are ready to prove our main theorem for the even case. Given a graph H, let $rad(H)$ denote its radius.

Theorem 4.6 (Main theorem for even case) *Let $r, t \geq 2$ be integers. There is a constant $\alpha = \alpha(r,t)$ such that the following holds. Let G be an n-vertex graph with $e(G) \geq \alpha n^{2-\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{r}}$ edges, where $n^{\frac{t}{r}} > 6t\ln(2nr)$ and $n \geq t^2$. Then G contains a subgraph G^* with $\delta(G^*) \geq 2t, rad(G^*) \leq r$ and $n(G^*) < rt^2 + rt$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 4.5, with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{r}$, $h = r$, and $b = \binom{2t}{t}$, and let α be the constant c returned by the lemma. By the lemma, there exists a partition of $V(G)$ into $L_1,\dots,L_r$ such that for every t-set S in G and for every $i, j \in [r]$ if S is (r,i)-good then $N^*(S) \cap L_j$ contains a collection $\mathcal{C}_j(S)$ of at least $\binom{2t}{t}n^{t/r}$ pairwise vertex disjoint $(r,i-1)$-good t-sets. Furthermore, some L_i contains an (r,r)-good t-set. By relabeling if necessary, we may assume that L_1 contains an (r,r)-good t-set U_0. For each vertex x in $K_{t,t}(G)$, let $S(x)$ denote the t-set in G that x represents.

Now we define an auxiliary digraph H with $V(H) \subseteq V(K_{t,t}(G))$ together with a partition $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r$ of $V(H)$ as follows. Let B_0 consist of the single vertex u in $K_{t,t}(G)$ representing U_0. Let B_1 be the set of vertices in $K_{t,t}(G)$ representing t-sets in $\mathcal{C}_1(U_0)$. For each $i \in \{2,\dots,r\}$, let B_i the set of vertices in $K_{t,t}(G)$ representing $(r, r-i)$-good t-sets in L_i. (Here, we define every t-set in G to be $(r,0)$-good.) Next, for each $i \in [r-1]$ and each vertex $x \in B_i$, we add arcs from x to all the vertices in B_{i+1} that represent t-sets in $\mathcal{C}_{i+1}(S(x))$. This defines the digraph H.

By our assumptions about the L_i's, for each $i \in [r-1] \cup \{0\}$, each vertex in B_i has at least $\binom{2t}{t}n^{t/r}$ out-neighbors in B_{i+1}. Now, grow a breadth-first search out-tree T in H from u. For each i, let $D_i = V(T) \cap B_i$. For each $i \in [r-1] \cup \{0\}$, by our assumption, D_i sends out at least $|D_i|\binom{2t}{t}n^{\epsilon t}$ edges into D_{i+1}. We consider two cases.

Case 1. For some $i \in [r]$, D_i contains a vertex y that lies in the out-neighborhoods of at least $\binom{2t}{t}$ different vertices $x_1, \dots, x_{\binom{2t}{t}}$ in D_{i-1}.

Since $S(x_1), \dots, S(x_{\binom{2t}{t}})$ are distinct t-sets, by Lemma 4.3, there exist a collection of $t+1$ of them whose union have size at least $2t$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $|\bigcup_{\ell=1}^{t+1} S(x_\ell)| \geq 2t$. Let v denote the closest common ancestor of $x_1, \dots, x_{t+1}$ in T. Suppose $v \in D_j$. Let T' be the subtree of T consisting of the directed paths from v to $\{x_1, \dots, x_{t+1}\}$. Let F be the union of T' and the edges $x_1y, \cdots, x_{t+1}y$. Let G^* be the subgraph of G induced by $\bigcup_{x \in V(F)} S(x)$. We show that

G^* has minimum degree at least $2t$. For each $k = j, j+1, \ldots, i$, let $A_k = \bigcup_{x \in V(F) \cap D_k} S(x)$. Then $A_k \subseteq L_k$, unless $k = 0$ in which case $A_0 = U_0$. Using this, one can check that $A_j, A_{j+1}, \ldots, A_i$ are pairwise vertex disjoint in G. We need to show that for each $k = j, j+1, \ldots, i$ and any $x \in A_k$ we have $d_{G^*}(x) \geq 2t$. Note that $A_j = S(v)$ and $A_{i+1} = S(y)$. Since v is the closest common ancestor of $x_1, \ldots, x_{t+1}$ in T, v has at least two children in T'. Let a, b denote two of the children of v in T'. By the definition of H, the out-neighborhood of v in H corresponds to $\mathcal{C}_{j+1}(S(v))$, which consists of pairwise vertex disjoint t-sets in L_{j+1}. Hence $S(a) \cap S(b) = \emptyset$. Since $va, vb \in E(H)$, $N^*(S(v))$ contains $S(a)$ and $S(b)$. Hence each vertex in $A_j = S(v)$ has degree at least $2t$ in G^*. Next, let $k \in \{j+1, \ldots, i-1\}$. Let $x \in V(F) \cap D_k$. Then x has an in-neighbor x^- in D_{k-1} and at least one out-neighbor x^+ in D_{k+1}. Since $x^-x, xx^+ \in E(H)$, by definition, G contains a copy of $K_{t,t}$ between $S(x^-)$ and $S(x)$ and a copy of $K_{t,t}$ between $S(x)$ and $S(x^+)$, both of which are in G^*. Since $S(x^-), S(x), S^+(x)$ are pairwise disjoint due to the disjointness of $A_j, A_{j+1}, \ldots, A_i$, each vertex in $S(x)$ has degree at least $2t$ in G^*. This shows that for each $x \in A_k$, $d_{G^*}(x) \geq 2t$. Finally, consider $A_i = S(y)$. Since $x_1y, \ldots, x_{t+1}y \in E(H)$, each vertex in $S(y)$ is adjacent in G^* to all of $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^{t+1} S(x_\ell)$. By our earlier discussion, $|\bigcup_{\ell=1}^{t+1} S(x_\ell)| \geq 2t$. Hence each vertex in $S(y) = A_i$ has degree at least $2t$ in G^*. Now we have found a subgraph G^* of G with minimum degree at least $2t$. The number of vertex in T' is at most $(r-1)(t+1)+1$ since it has $t+1$ leaves and has height at most $r-1$. So $n(F) \leq (r-1)(t+1)+2 < rt+r$ and thus $n(G^*) \leq rt^2 + rt$. Also, $rad(G^*) \leq r$.

Case 2. For each $i \in [r]$ every vertex in D_i lies in the out-neighborhoods of fewer than $\binom{2t}{t}$ vertices of D_{i-1}.

For each $i \in [r]$, since D_{i-1} sends out at least $|D_{i-1}|\binom{2t}{t}n^{t/r}$ edges into D_i and each vertex in D_i receives fewer than $\binom{2t}{t}$ of these edges, we have $|D_i| \geq n^{t/r}|D_{i-1}|$. This yields $|D_r| \geq [n^{t/r}]^r = n^t > \binom{n}{t}$, which is impossible since vertices in D_r correspond to distinct t-sets in G and there are only $\binom{n}{t}$ distinct t-sets in G. ■

Applying Theorem 4.6 with $r = \lfloor \frac{m}{2t^2} \rfloor$, we answer Question 1.3 in the stronger form for even d.

Proposition 4.7 *Let $t, m \geq 2$ be integers. We have* $ex(n, \mathcal{G}_{2t,m}) = O(n^{2-\frac{1}{t}+\frac{2t^2}{m}}) = O(n^{2-\frac{2}{2t}+\frac{2t^2}{m}})$.

5 Odd case

In this section, unless otherwise specified, we allow $t = 1$.

Definition 5.1 Let s, t positive integers. Let M be an s-matching $x_1y_1, x_2y_2, \ldots, x_sy_s$, and N a t-matching $x'_1y'_1, \ldots, x'_ty'_t$ where M and N are vertex disjoint. Let $H_{s,t}$ be obtained from M and N by adding edges $x_ix'_j$ and $y_iy'_j$ over all $i \in [s]$ and $j \in [t]$. We call M and N the *two parts* of $H_{s,t}$. Equivalently, $H_{s,t}$ can be obtained as follows: start with a copy B_x of $K_{s,t}$ with parts $\{x_1, \ldots, x_s\}$ and $\{x'_1, \ldots, x'_t\}$ and another copy B_y of $K_{s,t}$ with parts $\{y_1, \ldots, y_s\}$ and $\{y'_1, \ldots, y'_t\}$ and then add a $(s+t)$-matching x_iy_i, x'_j, y'_j, for all $i \in [s]$ and $j \in [t]$.

Note that $H_{1,1}$ is the four-cycle C_4 and $H_{2,2}$ is the 3-dimensional cube Q_3. A well-known result of Erdős and Simonovits [8] shows that $ex(n, Q_3) = O(n^{\frac{8}{5}})$. Pinchasi and Sharir [11] gave a new proof of this result and also obtained the following.

Theorem 5.2 **[11]** *Let $2 \leq s \leq t$ be positive integers and let G be a graph on n vertices which does not contain a copy of $H_{s,t}$ and also does not contain a copy of $K_{s+1,s+1}$. Then G has at most $O(n^{\frac{4s}{2s+1}})$ edges.*

Equivalently, Theorem 5.2 establishes that $ex(n, \{H_{s,t}, K_{s+1,s+1}\}) = O(n^{\frac{4s}{2s+1}})$. Pinchasi and Sharir [11] asked if Theorem 5.2 can be strengthened to $ex(n, H_{s,t}) = O(n^{\frac{4s}{2s+1}})$. In Section 6 we give an affirmative answer to the question for the case $s = t$. That is, we show that $ex(n, H_{t,t}) = O(n^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}})$. This provides a generalization of the cube theorem of Erdős and Simonovits.

In this section, we first establish supersaturation of $H_{t,t}$'s in the absence of $K_{t+1,q}$'s. Then we use supersaturation, splitting, and expansion arguments to establish our main theorem for the odd case. Arguments in this section are much more technical than in the previous one, as we will be analyzing interactions between pairs of t-matchings, rather than between two t-sets of vertices. We start our supersaturation arguments by counting t-matchings. Counting matchings of a fixed size in a graph is a well-studied topic. For our purposes, however, we will only need the following very crude bound. We consider a t-matching to be an unordered set of t disjoint edges.

Lemma 5.3 *Let G be a graph with maximum degree d and E edges, where $E \geq 4dt$. Then the number of t-matchings in G is at least $\frac{E^t}{2^t t!}$. Also, if $E \geq 4dt^2$, then the number of t-matchings in G is at least $\frac{1}{2}\frac{E^t}{t!}$.*

Proof. Consider selecting t disjoint edges $e_1, \ldots, e_t$ greedily as follows. First we select an arbitrary edge to be e_1. Then delete the all the edges of G that are incident to e_1; there are at most $2(d-1)$ of them. Then we select an arbitary remaining edge to be e_2, and deleting edges incident to e_2, and etc. The number of different lists $e_1, \ldots, e_t$ we produce this way is at least $\mu = E(E-2d)(E-4d)\ldots[E-2d(t-1)] \geq (\frac{E}{2})^t$. So the number of different sets $\{e_1, \ldots, e_t\}$ is at least $\frac{E^t}{2^t t!}$. Next, suppose $E \geq 4dt^2$. Then $\mu = E^t \Pi_{i=1}^{t-1}(1-\frac{2di}{E}) \geq E^t \Pi_{i=1}^{t-1} e^{-2\frac{2di}{E}} \geq E^t e^{-\frac{2dt^2}{E}} \geq \frac{1}{2}E^t$. Hence the number of different t-sets $\{e_1, \ldots, e_t\}$ is at least $\frac{1}{2}\frac{E^t}{t!}$. ■

Next, we establish supersaturation properties of $H_{1,t}$'s in bipartite graphs. The symmetric version is implied by Theorem 4 of [8] and the asymmetric version is implicit in [8]. However, for the purpose of the next section, we need an explicit asymmetric version. Since the arguments are standard convexity argugments and are short, we include them for completeness. We follow arguments used in [11] in the next two lemmas.

Lemma 5.4 *Let G be an n-vertex biparite graph with a bipartiton (A, B). Suppose G has $E \geq n^{3/2}$ edges. Let W_A and W_B denote the number of $K_{1,2}$'s in G centered in A and in B, respectively. Let S denote the number of C_4's in G. Then $W_A \geq \frac{E^2}{4|A|}$, $W_B \geq \frac{E^2}{4|B|}$, $S \geq \frac{W_A^2}{2|B|^2}$, and $S \geq \frac{W_B^2}{2|A|^2}$. In particular, we have $S \geq \frac{E^4}{32|A|^2|B|^2}$.*

Proof. For any real $x \geq 2$ we have $\binom{x}{2} = \frac{x(x-1)}{2} \geq \frac{x^2}{4}$. Let $d_A = \frac{E}{|A|}$ denote the average degree in G of vertices in A. Then $d \geq 2\sqrt{n}$. By convexity, we have

$$W_A = \sum_{x \in A} \binom{d(x)}{2} \geq |A| \binom{d_A}{2} \geq \frac{|A| d_A^2}{4} \geq \frac{E^2}{4|A|}. \tag{1}$$

By a similar argument, we have $W_B \geq \frac{E^2}{4|B|}$. For each pair u, v of vertices, let $d(u, v)$ denote the number of common neighbors of u and v. Let d_B^* denote the average of $d(u, v)$ over all pairs u, v in B. Note that $\sum_{u,v \in B} d(u, v) = W_A$. Hence $d_B^* = \frac{W_A}{\binom{|B|}{2}} \geq \frac{E^2}{2|A||B|^2} \geq 2$, where the last inequality follows from $E \geq n^{3/2}$ and $n = |A| + |B|$. Now, using convexity, we have

$$S \geq \sum_{u,v \in B} \binom{d(u,v)}{2} \geq \binom{|B|}{2}\binom{d_B^*}{2} \geq \frac{W_A^2}{4\binom{|B|}{2}} \geq \frac{W_A^2}{2|B|^2}. \tag{2}$$

Similarly, we have $S \geq \frac{W_B^2}{2|A|^2}$. By (2) and (1), we have $S \geq \frac{W_A^2}{2|B|^2} \geq \frac{E^4}{32|A|^2|B|^2}$. ■

Lemma 5.5 *Let t be a positive integer. Let G be an n-vertex bipartite graph with a bipartition (A, B). Suppose G has $E \geq 4\sqrt{2t}n^{3/2}$ edges. Then the number of $H_{1,t}$'s in G is at least $\frac{1}{2^{5t+2}t!}\frac{E^{3t+1}}{|A|^{2t}|B|^{2t}}$.*

Proof. For each edge $e = xy$, where $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, let $X_e = N(y) \setminus \{x\}$ and $Y_e = N(x) \setminus \{y\}$. Let G_e denote the subgraph of G induced by $X_e \cup Y_e$. Let V_e and E_e denote the number of vertices and edges in G_e, respectively. We call an edge e *good* if $E_e \geq 8tV_e$ and *bad* if $E_e < 8tV_e$. Let $\mathcal{E}_1$ denote the set of good edges in G and $\mathcal{E}_2$ the set of bad edges in G.

Claim 1. We have $\sum_{e \in E(G)} V_e \leq \frac{1}{16t}\sum_{e \in E(G)} E_e$.

Proof of Claim 1. Let W denote the number of $K_{1,2}$'s in G and S the number of C_4's in G. Then $\sum_{e \in E(G)} V_e = 2W$ and $\sum_{e \in E(G)} E_e = 4S$. Suppose for contradiction that $\sum_{e \in E(G)} V_e > \frac{1}{16t}\sum_{e \in E(G)} E_e$. Then $\sum_{e \in E(G)} E_e < 16t\sum_{e \in E(G)} V_e$, or equivalently, $4S \leq 32tW$. Hence $S \leq 8tW$. Let W_A, W_B denote the number of $K_{1,2}$'s centered in A and B, respectively in G. Without loss of generality, suppose $W_A \geq W_B$. We have $S \leq 8tW \leq 16tW_A$. On the other hand, by Lemma 5.4, we have $S \geq \frac{W_A^2}{2|B|^2}$. Thus, we have $\frac{W_A^2}{2|B|^2} \leq 16tW_A$. Solving for W_A yields $W_A \leq 32t|B|^2$. On the other hand, by Lemma 5.4, we also have $W_A \geq \frac{E^2}{4|A|}$. Hence $\frac{E^2}{4|A|} \leq 32t|B|^2$, which yields $E \leq 8\sqrt{2t}|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}|B| \leq 4\sqrt{2t}n^{3/2}$, contradicting our assumption about G. ■

Now, by Claim 1 and the definition of $\mathcal{E}_2$, we have

$$\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_2} E_e \leq 8t \sum_{e \in E(G)} V_e \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in E(G)} E_e.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_1} E_e \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in E(G)} E_e = 2S. \tag{3}$$

By Lemma 5.4, $S \geq \frac{E^4}{32|A|^2|B|^2}$. Hence,

$$\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_1} E_e \geq \frac{E^4}{16|A|^2|B|^2}. \tag{4}$$

For each $e \in \mathcal{E}_1$, since $E_e \geq 8tV_e \geq 4t\Delta(G_e)$, by Lemma 5.3, G_e contains at least $\frac{(E_e)^t}{2^t t!}$ different t-matchings. Let λ denote the number of $H_{1,t}$'s in G. Then $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{4}\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_1} \frac{(E_e)^t}{2^t t!} = \frac{1}{2^{t+2}t!}\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_1} (E_e)^t$.

Using convexity and (4), we have

$$\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2^{t+2}t!}\frac{(\sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}_1} E_e)^t}{|\mathcal{E}_1|^{t-1}} \geq \frac{1}{2^{t+2}t!}\left(\frac{E^4}{16A|^2|B|^2}\right)^t/E^{t-1} \geq \frac{1}{2^{5t+2}t!}\frac{E^{3t+1}}{|A|^{2t}|B|^{2t}}.$$

■

Next, we establish supersaturation of $H_{t,t}$'s in $K_{t+1,q}$-free graphs. The reason for the extra assumption of $K_{t+1,q}$-freeness is (1) it simplifies the arguments and (2) it is needed for a later splitting process. (For the splitting process to work, one needs some "local spareness".)

Lemma 5.6 *Let t,q be positive integers. Let G be an n-vertex $K_{t+1,q}$-free bipartite graph with $E \geq 12qtn^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}}$ edges. Then G contains at least $c'_t\frac{E^{2t^2+2t}}{n^{4t^2}}$copies of $H_{t,t}$, where $c'_t = \frac{1}{2^{5t^2+4t+1}(t!)^{t+1}}$*

Proof. Let (A,B) be a bipartition of G. Let M be a t-matching in G. Let $X_M = N^*(B\cap V(M)) \setminus V(M)$ and $Y_M = N^*(A\cap V(M)) \setminus V(M)$. Let G_M denote the subgraph of G induced by $X_M \cup Y_M$. Then G_M is bipartite with a bipartition (X_M, Y_M). Let E_M denote the number of edges in G_M. Suppose first that G_M contains a vertex x of degree at least q. Without loss of generality, suppose $x\in X_M$. Let $y_1,\dots,y_q \in Y_M$ denote q of the neighbors of x in G_M. Then by the definition of Y_M, each y_i is adjacent to all of $V(M)\cap A$. Now, we obtain a copy of $K_{t+1,q}$ with parts $(V(M)\cap A)\cup\{x\}$ and $\{y_1,\dots,y_q\}$, contradicting that G is $K_{t+1,q}$-free. Hence G_M has maximum degree less than q. Let's call M *good* if $E_M \geq 4qt$ and call M *bad* otherwise. For good M's, by Lemma 5.3, G_M contains at least $\frac{(E_M)^t}{2^tt!}$ many t-matchings. In other words, each good t-matching M forms a $H_{t,t}$ with at least $\frac{(E_M)^t}{2^tt!}$ many t-matchings.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the set of all t-matchings in G. Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ denote the set of good t-matchings and $\mathcal{M}_2$ the set of bad t-matchings in G. Let μ denote the number of $H_{t,t}$'s in G. By our discussion,

$$\mu \geq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{M\in\mathcal{M}_1}\frac{(E_M)^t}{2^tt!} = \frac{1}{2^{t+1}t!}\sum_{M\in\mathcal{M}_1}(E_M)^t. \tag{5}$$

Let $\lambda = \sum_{M\in\mathcal{M}} E_M$. Note that λ counts the number of $H_{1,t}$'s in G. By Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\lambda = \sum_{M\in\mathcal{M}} E_M \geq \frac{1}{2^{5t+2}t!}\frac{E^{3t+1}}{n^{4t}}.$$

Let $\lambda_1 = \sum_{M\in\mathcal{E}_1} E_M$ and $\lambda_2 = \sum_{M\in\mathcal{E}_2} E_M$. Then $\lambda = \lambda_1+\lambda_2$. By the definition of $\mathcal{E}_2$, $\lambda_2 \leq 4qt|\mathcal{E}_2| \leq 4qtE^t$. On the other hand, using $E\geq 12qtn^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}}$ and $\lambda \geq E^t\cdot\frac{E^{2t+1}}{2^{5t+2}t!n^{4t}}$, we can show that $\lambda \geq 8qtE^t$. Hence, $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda$. So,

$$\sum_{M\in\mathcal{M}_1} E_M \geq \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2^{5t+2}t!}\frac{E^{3t+1}}{n^{4t}}. \tag{6}$$

Now, by (5), (6), and convexity, we have

$$\mu \geq \frac{1}{2^{t+1}t!}\frac{(\sum_{M\in\mathcal{M}_1} E_M)^t}{(\mathcal{M}_1)^{t-1}} \geq \frac{1}{2^{t+1}t!}\frac{(\sum_{M\in\mathcal{M}_1} E_M)^t}{(E^t)^{t-1}} \geq \frac{1}{2^{5t^2+4t+1}(t!)^{t+1}}\frac{E^{2t^2+2t}}{n^{4t^2}}.$$

■

Lemma 5.7 *Let G be a graph with E edges and maximum degree at most q. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the collection of all the t-matchings in G and $\mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ with $|\mathcal{M}'| \geq \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{M}|$. Then $\mathcal{M}'$ contains at least $\frac{E}{qt^3 2^{t+2}}$ vertex disjoint t-matchings.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, $|\mathcal{M}| \geq \frac{E^t}{2^t t!}$. Let $\mathcal{M}''$ be a maximum collection of edge-disjoint members of $\mathcal{M}'$ (recall that each member of $\mathcal{M}'$ is a t-matching in G). Let L denote the set of edges of G that are contained in the members of $\mathcal{M}''$. Then $|L| = t|\mathcal{M}''|$. Since $\mathcal{M}''$ is maximum, each member of $\mathcal{M}'$ must contain an edge in L. On the other hand, each edge in L clearly lies in fewer than $\frac{E^{t-1}}{(t-1)!}$ members of $\mathcal{M}'$. Hence, $|\mathcal{M}'| \leq |L|\frac{E^{t-1}}{(t-1)!} = t|\mathcal{M}''|\frac{E^{t-1}}{(t-1)!}$. Therefore,

$$|\mathcal{M}''| \geq \frac{|\mathcal{M}'|}{tE^{t-1}/(t-1)!} \geq \frac{(1/2)|\mathcal{M}|}{tE^{t-1}/(t-1)!} \geq \frac{(1/2)E^t/2^t t!}{tE^{t-1}/(t-1)!} = \frac{E}{t^2 2^{t+1}}.$$

Now since G has maximum degree at most q and members of $\mathcal{M}''$ are edge-disjoint, each vertex in G lies in at most q members of $\mathcal{M}''$. So each member of $\mathcal{M}''$ shares a vertex with fewer than $2tq$ other members of $\mathcal{M}''$. By a greedy algorithm, one can build a subcollection $\mathcal{M}'''$ of vertex disjoint members of $\mathcal{M}''$ with $|\mathcal{M}'''| \geq |\mathcal{M}''|/2tq \geq \frac{E}{qt^3 2^{t+2}}$. ■

Now we develop a splitting lemma for the odd case. Given a positive integer t and a graph G, we let $H_{t,t}(G)$ denote the auxiliary graph whose vertices are t-matchings in G such that two vertices u, v are adjacent in $H_{t,t}(G)$ if and only if the two t-matchings they correspond to in G form the two parts of a copy of $H_{t,t}$ in G. Given positive integers $h \geq i \geq 1$, we say that a t-matching M is (h,i)-*good* in G if the vertex in $H_{t,t}(G)$ that corresponds to M is (h,i)-good in $H_{t,t}(G)$. If G is bipartite with a bipartition (A,B) and M is a matching in G, then as before, let $X_M = N^*(V(M) \cap B) \setminus V(M)$ and $Y_M = N^*(V(M) \cap A) \setminus V(M)$ and let G_M denote the subgraph of G induced by $X_M \cup Y_M$.

Lemma 5.8 *Let h, q, t be positive integers and b, ϵ positive reals, where $b \geq 1$. There is a constant $c = c(h,q,t,b)$ such that following holds. Let G be an n-vertex $K_{t+1,q}$-free bipartite graph with $E \geq cn^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}+\epsilon}$ edges, where $n^{\epsilon(2t+1)} > 12t\ln(h^2 n)$. Then there exists a partition of $V(G)$ into sets $L_1, \ldots, L_h$ such that for every t-matching M in G and for every $i, j \in [h]$ if M is (h,i)-good then L_j contains at least $bn^{\epsilon t}$ pairwise vertex disjoint $(h, i-1)$-good t-matchings in G_M. Furthermore, some L_i contains an (h,h)-good t-matching.*

Proof. We will specify the choice of c later in the proof. For convenience, let $H = H_{t,t}(G)$. By Lemma 5.6, $e(H) \geq c'_t \frac{E^{2t^2+2t}}{n^{4t^2}}$. Clearly, $n(H) \leq E^t$. Hence $d(H) \geq c'_t \frac{E^{2t^2+t}}{n^{4t^2}} \geq c'_t c^{2t^2+t} n^{\epsilon(2t^2+t)}$, where the last inequality follows from $E \geq cn^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}+\epsilon}$. Let M be any (h,i)-good t-matching in G, where $1 \leq i \leq h$, let v denote the corresponding vertex in H. Since v is (h,i)-good in H, by definition, $d_H(v) \geq \frac{d(H)}{3^h} \geq \frac{c'_t c^{2t^2+t} n^{\epsilon(2t^2+t)}}{3^h}$. Note that $N_H(v)$ corresponds to the collection $\mathcal{M}$ of all the t-matchings in G_M. So, $|\mathcal{M}| = d_H(v)$. Let $\mathcal{M}'$ denote the set of $(h, i-1)$-good matchings in G_M. Since M is (h,i)-good, by definition, $|\mathcal{M}'| \geq \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{M}|$. Note also that since G is $K_{t+1,q}$-free, G_M has maximum degree less than q. Let E_M denote the number of edges in G_M. Trivially, $|\mathcal{M}| \leq (E_M)^t/t!$. So

$$E_M \geq (t!|\mathcal{M}|)^{1/t} = (t! d_H(v))^{1/t} > [d_H(v)]^{1/t} \geq (c'_t/3^h)^{1/t} c^{2t+1} n^{\epsilon(2t+1)}.$$

By choosing c to be large enough, we can ensure that $E_M \geq qt^3 2^{t+3} bh^{2t} n^{\epsilon(2t+1)}$. By Lemma 5.7, $\mathcal{M}'$ contains at least $\frac{E_M}{qt^3 2^{t+2}} \geq 2bh^{2t} n^{\epsilon(2t+1)}$ vertex disjoint members. We have thus shown that for each (h,i)-good t-matching M in G, we can fix a collection $\mathcal{C}_M$ of at least $2bh^{2t} n^{\epsilon(2t+1)}$ vertex disjoint $(h,i-1)$-good t-matchings in G_M.

Now, independently and uniformly at random assign a color from $\{1,\ldots,h\}$ to each vertex of G. Fix any $i \in [h]$ and any (h,i)-good (but not $(h,i+1)$-good if $i \leq h-1$) t-matching M. Let $X_{M,j}$ count the number of $(h,i-1)$-good t-matchings T in M_S in which all the vertices of T are colored j. Since the t-matchings in $\mathcal{C}_M$ are pairwise vertex disjoint, $X_{M,j} \in Bin(|\mathcal{C}_M|, \frac{1}{h^{2t}})$. So, $E(X_{M,j}) = \frac{|\mathcal{C}_M|}{h^{2t}}$ and by the Chernoff bound, $\mathbb{P}(X_{M,j} < \frac{|\mathcal{C}_M|}{2h^{2t}}) \leq 2e^{-\frac{1}{12}\frac{|\mathcal{C}_M|}{h^{2t}}} \leq e^{-\frac{b}{6}n^{\epsilon(2t+1)}}$, using $|\mathcal{C}_M| \geq 2bh^{2t}n^{\epsilon(2t+1)}$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}(\exists M, j : X_{M,j} < \frac{|\mathcal{C}_M|}{2h^t}) < 2hn^t e^{-\frac{b}{6}n^{\epsilon(2t+1)}} < 2hn^t e^{-\frac{1}{6}n^{\epsilon(2t+1)}} < \frac{1}{h^{2t-1}}$, where one can check that the last inequality holds when $n^{\epsilon(2t+1)} > 12t\ln(h^2 n)$. Next, note that by Lemma 3.3, H contains at least one (h,h)-good vertex. Hence, G has at least one (h,h)-good t-matching M_0. The probability that all the vertices in M_0 have received the same color is $\frac{h}{h^{2t}} = \frac{1}{h^{2t-1}}$. This combined with earlier discussion shows that there exists a coloring for which $\forall M, j$ we have $X_{M,j} \geq \frac{|\mathcal{C}_M|}{2h^{2t}} \geq bn^{\epsilon(2t+1)}$ and that M_0 is monochromatic. For each $i \in [h]$, let L_i denote color class i. The claim follows. ■

Theorem 5.9 (Main theorem for odd case) *Let r, t be positive integers. There is a constant $\beta = \beta(r,t)$ such that the following holds. Let G be an n-vertex graph with $e(G) \geq \beta n^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}+\frac{1}{r}}$ edges, where $n^{\epsilon(2t+1)} > 12t\ln(h^2 n)$ and $n > t!$. Then G contains a subgraph G^* with $d(G^*) \geq 2t+1, rad(G^*) \leq r+1$ and $n(G^*) \leq r(4t^2+2t)$.*

Proof. Since every graph contains a bipartite subgraph with at least half of the edges, we may assume that G is bipartite with a bipartition (A,B). Observe that if G contains a copy L of $K_{t+1,2t^2+3t+1}$, Then L is a subgraph of G with average degree $2t+1$, radius $2 \leq r+1$ and order at most $2t^2+4t+2 < 4r(t^2+t)$. So the claim holds trivially. Hence, for the rest of the proof, we assume that G is $K_{t+1,q}$-free with $q = 2t^2+3t+1$. Apply Lemma 5.8, with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{r}$, $h = r$, and $b = t!(3e)^{2t}$, and let β be the constant c returned by the lemma. By Lemma 5.8, there exists a partition of $V(G)$ into $L_1,\ldots,L_r$ such that for every t-matching M in G and for every $i,j \in [r]$ if S is i-good then L_j contains a collection $\mathcal{C}_j(M)$ of at least $t!(3e)^{2t} n^{\frac{2t+1}{r}}$ pairwise vertex disjoint $(r,i-1)$-good t-matchings. Furthermore, some L_i contains an (r,r)-good t-matching M_0. By relabeling if necessary, we may assume that L_1 contains M_0. For each vertex x in $H_{t,t}(G)$, let $M(x)$ denote the t-matching in G that x represents.

Now we define an auxiliary digraph H together with a partition $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_r$ of $V(H)$ as follows. Let U_0 consist of the vertex u in $H_{t,t}(G)$ that corresponds to M_0. Let U_1 be the set of vertices in $H_{t,t}(G)$ corresponding to t-matchings in $\mathcal{C}_1(M_0)$. Add arcs from u to all of U_1. For each $i \in \{2,\ldots,r\}$, let U_i be the set of vertices in $H_{t,t}(G)$ corresponding to $(r,r-i)$-good t-matchings in G that lie inside in L_i (Here, we define every t-matching in G to be $(r,0)$-good.) For each $i \in [r-1]$ and each $x \in U_i$ we add arcs from x to all the vertices in U_{i+1} that represent t-matchings in $\mathcal{C}_{i+1}(M(x))$. This defines the digraph H.

By our assumptions about the L_i's, for each $i \in [r-1] \cup \{0\}$, each vertex in U_i has at least $t!(3e)^{2t} n^{\frac{2t+1}{r}}$ out-neighbors in U_{i+1}. Now, grow a breadth-first search out-tree T from u. For each i, let $D_i = V(T) \cap U_i$. For each $i \in [r-1] \cup \{0\}$, by our assumption, D_i sends out at least $|D_i| t!(3e)^{2t} n^{\frac{2t+1}{r}}$ edges into D_{i+1}. We consider two cases.

Case 1. For some $i \in [r]$, D_i contains a vertex y that lies in the outneighborhoods of at least $t!(3e)^{2t}$ different vertices in D_{i-1}.

Let $p = t!(3e)^{2t}$. Suppose v lies in the out-neighborhoods of $x_1, \dots, x_p \in D_{i-1}$. For each $i = 1, \dots, p$, let $A_i = V(M(x_i)) \cap A$ and $B_i = V(M(x_i)) \cap B$. Consider the list $(A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_p, B_p)$. The pairs in the list are not necessarily distinct. However, since $M(x_1), \dots, M(x_p)$ are distinct matchings in G and there are at most $t!$ distinct matchings with the same bipartition, each pair appears at most $t!$ times in the list. So there are at least $p/t! \geq (3e)^{2t} \geq \binom{3t}{t}^2$ distinct pairs among them. Let $s = \binom{3t}{t}^2$. Without loss of generality, suppose $(A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_s, B_s)$ are distinct pairs. Then either $\{A_1, \dots, A_s\}$ or $\{B_1, \dots, B_s\}$ must contain at least $\binom{3t}{t}$ distinct members. Without loss of generality, suppose $A_1, \dots, A_{\binom{3t}{t}}$ are distinct. By Lemma 4.3, there exists a collection of $2t+1$ of them, say $A_1, \dots, A_{2t+1}$ such that $|\bigcup_{i=1}^{2t+1} A_i| \geq 3t$.

Let v denote the closest common ancestor of $x_1, \dots, x_{2t+1}$ in T. Suppose $v \in D_j$. Let T' be the subtree of T consisting of the directed paths from v to $\{x_1, \dots, x_{2t+1}\}$. Let F be the union of T' and the edges $x_1y, \cdots, x_{2t+1}y$. Let G^* be the subgraph of G induced by $\bigcup_{x \in V(F)} V(M(x))$. We show that G^* has average degree at least $2t+1$. For each $k = j, j+1, \dots, i$, let $R_k = \bigcup_{x \in V(F) \cap D_k} V(M(x))$. Then $R_k \subseteq L_k$, unless $k = 0$, in which case $R_0 = V(M_0)$. Using this, one can check that $R_j, R_{j+1}, \dots, R_{i+1}$ are pairwise vertex disjoint in G. Also note that $R_j = V(M(v))$ and $R_{i+1} = V(M(y))$. Since v is the closest common ancestor of $x_1, \dots, x_{2t+1}$ in T, v has at least two children in T'. Let a, b denote two of the children of v in T'. By the definition of H, the out-neighbors of v in H correspond to a collection $\mathcal{C}_{j+1}(M(v))$ of pairwise vertex disjoint t-matchings in L_{j+1}. Hence $M(a)$ and $M(b)$ are vertex disjoint. Since $G_{M(v)}$ contains $M(a)$ and $M(b)$, and $M(a)$ and $M(b)$ are two vertex disjoint t-matchings, each vertex in $R_j = V(M(v))$ has degree at least $2t$ in G^*. Next, let $k \in \{j+1, \dots, i-1\}$. Let $x \in V(F) \cap D_k$. Then x has an in-neighbor x^- in D_{k-1} and at least one out-neighbor x^+ in D_{k+1}. Since $x^-x, xx^+ \in E(H)$, by definition, G contains a copy of $H_{t,t}$ between $M(x^-)$ and $M(x)$ and a copy of $H_{t,t}$ between $M(x)$ and $M(x^+)$, both of which are in G^*. Let w be any vertex in $M(x)$. Then it has t neighbors in $M(x^-)$, t neighbors in $M(x^+)$ and at least 1 neighbor in $M(x)$. Since $M(x^-), M(x), M(x^+)$ are pairwise disjoint by earlier remarks, w has degree at least $2t+1$ in G^*. This shows that for each $w \in R_k$, $d_{G^*}(w) \geq 2t+1$. Finally, consider $R_i = V(M(y))$. Recall that for each $j = 1, \dots, 2t+1$, we let $A_j = V(M_j) \cap A$ and $B_j = V(M_j) \cap B$ and by our earlier assumption, $|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2t+1} A_j| \geq 3t$. Since $x_1y, \dots, x_{2t+1}y \in E(H)$, each vertex w in $M(y) \cap A$ is adjacent in G^* to all of $\bigcup_{p=1}^{2t+1} B_i$. Also w has at least one neighbor in $M(y)$. So $d_{G^*}(w) \geq t+1$. Each vertex w in $M(y) \cap B$ is adjacent in G^* to all of $\bigcup_{p=1}^{2t+1} A_i$ and w has at least one neighbor in $M(y)$. Since $\bigcup_{p=1}^{2t+1} A_i| \geq 3t$, we have $d_{G^*}(w) \geq 3t+1$. Since there are equal number of vertices in $M(y) \cap A$ and $M(y) \cap B$, the average degree in G^* among vertices in $M(y)$ is at least $2t+1$. We have earlier argued that all other vertices in G^* have degree at least $2t+1$. Hence G^* has average degree at least $2t+1$. Now we have found a subgraph G^* of G with average degree at least $2t+1$. The number of vertex in T' is at most $(r-1)(2t+1)+1$ since it has $2t+1$ leaves and has height at most $r-1$. So $n(F) \leq (r-1)(2t+1)+2 < r(2t+1)$ and thus $n(G^*) \leq r(2t+1)(2t) = r(4t^2+2t)$. Also, one can check that $rad(G^*) \leq r+1$.

Case 2. For each $i \in [r]$ every vertex in D_i lies in the out-neighborhoods of fewer than $t!(3e)^{2t}$ vertices of D_{i-1}.

For each $i \in [r]$, D_{i-1} sends out at least $|D_{i-1}|t!(3e)^{2t}n^{\frac{2t+1}{r}}$ edges into D_i and each vertex in D_i receives fewer than $t!(3e)^{2t}$ of these edges, we have $|D_i| \geq |D_{i-1}|n^{\frac{2t+1}{r}}$. This yields $|D_r| \geq [n^{\frac{2t+1}{r}}]^r = n^{2t+1} > t!n^{2t}$, which is impossible since vertices in D_r correspond to distinct t-matchings in G and there are certainly no more than $t!n^t n^t < t!n^{2t}$ distinct t-matchings in G. ■

We can now answer Question 1.3 for all odd d, by applying Theorem 5.9 with $r = \lfloor \frac{m}{8t^2} \rfloor$.

Proposition 5.10 *Let t, m be positive integers. We have $ex(n, \mathcal{F}_{2t+1,m}) = O(n^{2-\frac{2}{2t+1}+\frac{8t^2}{m}})$.*

6 A generalization of the cube theorem

In this section, we partially answered Pinchasi and Sharir's question by proving that $ex(n, H_{t,t}) = O(n^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}})$, which generalizes the cube theorem [8] $ex(n, Q_3) = O(n^{\frac{8}{5}})$. Given a positive integer t, we call the $2t$-edge tree obtained joining t paths of length 2 at one end a *t-spider*. Eequivalently, a t-spider is obtained from a t-edge star by subdividing each edge once. Note that a 1-spider is just a copy of P_3 or equivalently $K_{1,2}$. The proof of Lemma 5.5 shows that in an n-vertex graph G with at least $Cn^{3/2}$ edges, the number of C_4's exceeds the number of $K_{1,2}$ (by any factor needed based on our choice of C). There is no immediate analoguous relationship between the number of t-spides and the number of $H_{1,t}$'s in a general graph, mostly due to the possible irregularities of vertex degrees in G. However, for dense enough G, one can apply a two-step regularization, introduced by Erdős and Simonovits in [8], to obtain a nice subgraph G' of G on which the number of $H_{1,t}$'s exceeds the number of t-claws by any prescribed factor. Given a graph, let $\lambda_t(G)$ denote the number of t-spiders in G and $h_{1,t}(G)$ the number of $H_{1,t}$'s in G. For convenience, we omit the floors and ceilings. In the next lemma, the first part repeats Erdős and Simonovits' regularization process. The second part uses the regularization to bound $\lambda_t(G')$ of the obtained subgraph G'.

Lemma 6.1 *Let $t \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $C > 0$ be a constant. Let G be an n-vertex bipartite graph with $E \geq 2^{27}(Ct!)^{\frac{1}{t+1}}n^{\frac{2t+1}{t+1}}$ edges, where $n^{1/6} > 2^{11}\sqrt{2t}(\log_2 n)^4$. Let (A, B) be a bipartition of G. There exists a subgraph G' of G with a bipartition (A', B') where $A' \subseteq A, B' \subseteq B$, such that $|A'| = \frac{A}{2^i}, |B'| = \frac{B}{2^j}$ and that $e(G') \geq \frac{E}{64i^2j^2}$ for some $2 \leq i, j \leq 3\log n$. Furthermore, we have $h_{1,t}(G') \geq C\lambda_t(G')$.*

Proof. Let $r_0 = 0$ and for each $i \geq 1$ let $r_i = \frac{2^{i-2}}{i^2}$. For each $i \geq 1$, let $A_i = \{x \in A : r_{i-1}\frac{E}{|A|} \leq d_G(x) < r_i\frac{E}{|A|}\}$. Then $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$. By definition, the number of edges of G that are incident to A_1 is less than $\frac{E}{2}$. So the number of edges of G that are incident to $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} A_i$ is more than $\frac{E}{2}$. If for each $i \geq 2$ we have $|A_i| < \frac{|A|}{2^i}$, then the number of edge of G that are incident to $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} A_i$ is less than $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^{i-2}}{i^2}\frac{E}{|A|}\frac{|A|}{2^i} \leq \frac{E}{4}\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{i^2} < \frac{E}{4} \cdot 1 = \frac{E}{4}$, a contradiction. So for some $i \geq 2$, we have $|A_i| \geq \frac{|A|}{2^i}$. Fix such an i. Let $A' \subseteq A_i$ be a subset with $|A'| = \frac{|A|}{2^i}$. Let $a = |A'|$. Let $\widetilde{G}$ denote the subgraph of G induced by $A' \cup B$. Let $\widetilde{E}$ denote the number of edges in $\widetilde{G}$. By definition, $\widetilde{E} \geq \frac{2^{i-3}}{(i-1)^2}\frac{E}{|A|}\frac{|A|}{2^i} > \frac{E}{8i^2}$.

For each $y \in B$, let $\widetilde{d}(y)$ denote the degree of y in $\widetilde{G}$. For each $j \geq 1$, let $B_j = \{y \in B : r_{j-1}\frac{E}{8i^2|B|} \leq \widetilde{d}(y) < r_j\frac{E}{8i^2|B|}\}$. By definition, the number of edges of $\widetilde{G}$ incident to B_1 is less than $r_1\frac{E}{8i^2|B|}|B| = \frac{1}{2}\frac{E}{8i^2} < \frac{\widetilde{E}}{2}$. So the number of edges of G' incident to $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} B_i$ is more than $\frac{\widetilde{E}}{2}$. If for each $j \geq 2$ we have $|B_j| < \frac{|B|}{2^j}$ then the number of edges of $\widetilde{G}$ incident to $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} B_i$ is less than $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^{j-2}}{j^2}\frac{E}{8i^2|B|}\frac{|B|}{2^j} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{E}{8i^2}\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j^2} < \frac{\widetilde{E}}{4}$, a contradiction. So there exists an $j \geq 2$ for which $|B_j| \geq \frac{|B|}{2^j}$. Fix such a j. Let $B' \subseteq B_j$ be a subset of B_j with $|B'| = \frac{|B|}{2^j}$. Let $G' = G[A' \cup B']$ be the subgraph of G induced by $A' \cup B'$. Let n', E' denote the number of vertices and the number of edges of G', respectively. By our definition,

$$E' \geq r_{j-1}\frac{E}{8i^2|B|}\cdot\frac{|B|}{2^j} = \frac{2^{j-3}}{(j-1)^2}\frac{E}{8i^2|B|}\frac{|B|}{2^j} \geq \frac{E}{64i^2j^2}.$$

Let $\Delta_{A'}$ and $\Delta_{B'}$ denote the maximum degree in G' of a vertex in A' and in B', respectively. By our definition of A' and B', $\Delta_{A'} \leq \frac{2^{i-2}}{i^2}\frac{E}{|A|}$ and $\Delta_{B'} \leq \frac{2^{j-2}}{j^2}\frac{E}{8i^2|B|}$. From each vertex in A' there are fewer than $(\Delta_{A'})^t(\Delta_{B'})^t$ ways to grow t many paths of length at most 2 and similarly for each vertex in B'. Thus we have

$$\lambda_t(G') \leq (a+b)(\Delta_{A'})^t(\Delta_{B'})^t \leq \left(\frac{2^{i-2}}{i^2}\frac{E}{|A|}\frac{2^{j-2}}{j^2}\frac{E}{8i^2|B|}\right)^t(a+b) \leq \left(\frac{2^{i-2}2^{j-2}(64i^2j^2E')^2}{8i^4j^2|A||B|}\right)^t(a+b). \tag{7}$$

Using $|A| = 2^i|A'| = 2^ia$ and $|B| = 2^j|B'| = 2^jb$, (7) yields

$$\lambda_t(G') \leq \left(\frac{32j^2(E')^2}{ab}\right)^t(a+b). \tag{8}$$

Next, observe that since $A_i = \{x \in A : r_{i-1}\frac{E}{|A|} \leq d(x) < r_i\frac{E}{|A|}\}$, but $\forall x \in A, d(x) \leq |B|$, we have $r_{i-1} \leq \frac{|A||B|}{E} < |A||B| \leq \frac{n^2}{4}$. That is, $\frac{2^{i-3}}{(i-1)^2} \leq \frac{n^2}{4}$. From this, one can show that $i \leq 3\log_2 n$ (using our assumption that n is sufficiently large. Indeed, it suffices if $n \geq 8(\log_2 n)^2$). Similarly $j \leq 3\log_2 n$. Now

$$E' \geq \frac{E}{64i^2j^2} \geq \frac{n^{\frac{2t+1}{t+1}}}{64\cdot 9(\log_2 n)^4} \geq \frac{n^{5/3}}{576(\log_2 n)^4} \geq 4\sqrt{2t}n^{3/2} \geq 4\sqrt{2t}(n')^{3/2},$$

using $n^{1/6} > 2^{11}\sqrt{2t}(\log_2 n)^4$. By Lemma 5.5, we have

$$h_{1,t}(G') \geq \frac{1}{2^{5t+2}t!}\frac{(E')^{3t+1}}{a^{2t}b^{2t}}. \tag{9}$$

Suppose $h_{1,t}(G') \leq C\lambda_t(G')$. Then by (8) and (9), we have

$$\frac{1}{2^{5t+2}t!}\frac{(E')^{3t+1}}{a^{2t}b^{2t}} \leq C\left(\frac{32j^2(E')^2}{ab}\right)^t(a+b).$$

Solving for E' yields

$$(E')^{t+1} \leq Ct!2^{10t+2}j^{2t}a^tb^t(a+b).$$

Since $E' \geq \frac{E}{64i^2j^2}$, $a = \frac{|A|}{2^i}, b = \frac{|B|}{2^j}$ and $(a+b) \leq n$, we have

$$\left(\frac{E}{64i^2j^2}\right)^{t+1} \leq Ct!2^{10t+2}j^{2t}\frac{|A|^t}{2^{it}}\frac{|B|^t}{2^{jt}}n.$$

Hence we have

$$E^{t+1} \leq Ct! 2^{16t+8} \frac{i^{2t+2}}{2^{it}} \frac{j^{4t+2}}{2^{jt}} n^{2t+1}.$$

So,

$$E \leq 2^{16} (Ct!)^{\frac{1}{t+1}} \frac{i^2}{2^{i/2}} \frac{j^4}{2^{j/2}} n^{\frac{2t+1}{t+1}}.$$

The functions $\frac{x^2}{2^{x/2}}$ and $\frac{x^4}{2^{x/2}}$ are maximize at $x = \frac{4}{\ln 2}$ and $x = \frac{8}{\ln 2}$, respectively, which can be used to show $\frac{i^2}{2^{i/2}} < 5$ and $\frac{j^4}{2^{j/2}} < 328$. Since $5 \cdot 328 < 2^{11}$, we have

$$E \leq 2^{27} (Ct!)^{\frac{1}{t+1}} n^{\frac{2t+1}{t+1}},$$

which contradicts our assumption about E. Therefore, we must have $h_{1,t}(G') \geq C\lambda_t(G')$. ■

Theorem 6.2 *Let $t \geq 2$ be a positive integer. We have $ex(n, H_{t,t}) \leq 2^{16} t n^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}}$ for sufficiently large n as a function of t.*

Proof. Since every graph contains a bipartite subgraph of at least half of the original edges, it suffices to consider n-vertex bipartite host graphs with at least $2^{15} t n^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}}$ edges. Let G be an n-vertex bipartite graph with $E > 2^{15} t n^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}}$ edges. Assume that G does not contain a copy of $H_{t,t}$, we derive a contradiction. Let (A, B) be a bipartition of G. Since $E > 2^{15} t n^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}} > 2^{27} (8t \cdot (t-1)!)^{\frac{1}{t}} n^{\frac{2t-1}{t}}$ for large n, by Lemma 6.1 (with t replaced with $t-1$ and with $C = 8t$) there exists a subgraph G' of G with a bipartition (A', B') where $A' \subseteq A, B' \subseteq B$, such that $|A'| = \frac{A}{2^i}, |B'| = \frac{B}{2^j}$ and that $E' = e(G') \geq \frac{E}{64 i^2 j^2}$ for some $2 \leq i, j \leq 3 \log n$. Furthermore, we have

$$h_{1,t-1}(G') \geq 8t \lambda_{t-1}(G').$$

In particular, note that $E' \geq \frac{E}{64(3 \log n)^4} \geq 4\sqrt{2(t-1)} n(G')^{3/2}$ for large n.

For each matching M in G', as before, let $X'_M = N^*_{G'}(V(M) \cap B) \setminus V(M)$ and $Y'(M) = N^*_{G'}(V(M) \cap A) \setminus V(M)$. Let G'_M be the subgraph of G' induced by $X'_M \cup Y'_M$. Let V'_M and E'_M denote the number of vertices and edges in G'_M, respectively. Let $h_{1,t-1}(G')$ denote the number of copies of $H_{1,t-1}$'s in G'. For convenience, let $a = |A'|$ and $b = |B'|$. Since $E' > 4\sqrt{2(t-1)} n(G')^{3/2}$ for large n, by Lemma 5.5, we have

$$h_{1,t-1}(G') \geq \frac{1}{32^{t-1}(t-1)!} \frac{(E')^{3(t-1)+1}}{a^{2(t-1)} b^{2(t-1)}}. \tag{10}$$

We call a $(t-1)$-matching M in G' *good* if $E'_M > 4t^3 V'_M$ and *bad* if $E'_M \leq 4t^3 V'_M$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the set of $(t-1)$-matchings in G'. Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ denote the set of all good $(t-1)$-matchings in G' and $\mathcal{M}_2$ the set of all bad $(t-1)$-matchings in G'. Note that $\sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} E'_M$ counts the total number of $H_{1,t-1}$'s in G' while $\sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} V'_M$ counts the total number of $(t-1)$-spiders in G'. Since $h_{1,t-1}(G') \geq 8t^3 \lambda_{t-1}(G')$, we have $\sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} E'_M \geq 8t^3 \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} V'_M$. By the definition of $\mathcal{M}_2$, we have

$$\sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_2} E'_M \leq 4t^3 \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_2} V'_M \leq 4t^3 \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} V'_M \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} E'_M. \tag{11}$$

Hence, by (10) and (11), we have

$$\sum_{M\in\mathcal{M}_1} E'_M \geq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{M\in\mathcal{M}} E'_M \geq \frac{1}{2^{5t+1}t!}\frac{(E')^{3t-2}}{a^{2t-2}b^{2t-2}}. \tag{12}$$

Now, we define a t-matching N in G' to be *heavy* if $E'_N > 4t^2$ and *light* if $E'_N \leq 4t^2$.

Claim 1. Let M be a $(t-1)$-matching in G'. The number of heavy t-matchings N of G' that are contained in G'_M is at most $\frac{t-1}{(t-2)!}(E'_M)^{t-1}V'_M$.

Proof of Claim 1. Suppose $M = \{a_1b_1, \dots, a_{t-1}b_{t-1}\}$, where $a_1, \dots, a_{t-1} \in A$ and $b_1, \dots, b_{t-1} \in B$. Let N be any t-matching in G'_M. By definition, also we have $M \subseteq G'_N$. If G'_N contains an edge e that is vertex disjoint from M, then we obtain a copy of $H_{t,t}$ with parts N and $M \cup e$, contradicting G' being $H_{t,t}$-free. Hence every edge in G'_N must intersect $V(M)$. Now, let N be any heavy t-matching of G' in G'_M. By definition, G'_N has at least $4t^2$ edges. Since $V(M)$ is a vertex cover of G'_N, by the pigeonhole principle, some vertex in $V(M)$ lies in at least $4t^2/2(t-1) > 2t$ edges of G'_N. We say that N is *w-dense* if $w \in V(M)$ lies in at least $2t$ edges of G'_N. Now, for each $i = 1, \dots, t-1$, we bound the number of a_i-dense heavy t-matchings and the number of b_i-dense heavy t-matchings of G' in G'_M. Let $L = \{u_1v_1, \dots, u_{t-1}v_{t-1}\}$ be any $(t-1)$-matching in G'_M, where $u_1, \dots, u_{t-1} \in X'_M$ and $v_1, \dots, v_{t-1} \in Y'_M$. Let y a vertex in Y'_M that lies outside L. We show that there are fewer than t different a_i-dense heavy t-matchings in G'_M that contain L and y. Otherwise, suppose $N_1, \dots, N_t$ are different a_i-dense heavy t-matchings of G' that contain L and y. For each $j = 1, \dots, t$, let x_jy denote the edge of N_j that is incident to y. Then $x_1, \dots, x_t \in X'_M \setminus V(L)$. For each $j = 1, \dots, t$, since N_j is a_i-dense, G'_{N_j} contains a set of at least $2t$ edges that are incident to a_i. We can greedily pick distinct edges $a_ic_1, a_ic_2, \dots, a_ic_t$ such that $c_1, \dots, c_t \notin \{b_1, \dots, b_{t-1}\}$ and that $a_ic_1 \in E(G'_{N_1}), a_ic_2 \in E(G'_{N_2}), \dots, a_ic_t \in E(G'_{N_t})$. Now we claim that there is a copy of $H_{t,t}$ in G'. First note that $c_1, \dots, c_t \in N^*_{G'}(\{u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}\})$, since for each j, $a_ic_j \in E(G'_{N_j}) \subseteq E(G'_L)$. Since a_i is also adjacent to all of $c_1, \dots, c_t$, there exists a copy of $K_{t,t}$ with partite sets $\{a_i, u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}\}$ and $\{c_1, \dots, c_t\}$. Next, note that all of $b_1, \dots, b_{t-1}$ are adjacent to all of $x_1, \dots x_t$ since $x_1y, \dots, x_ty \in E(G'_M)$. Hence there is another copy of $K_{t,t}$ in G' with partite sets $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_{t-1}, y\}$. It remains to find a matching joining these two disjoint copies of $K_{t,t}$'s. For each $j = 1, \dots, t$, since $a_ic_j \in E(G'_{N_j})$, we have $c_jx_j \in E(G')$. Since $L \subseteq G'_M$, we have $u_1b_1, \dots, u_{t-1}b_{t-1} \in E(G')$. Since $y \in V(G'_M)$, we have $a_iy \in E(G')$. Hence, we obtain a copy of $H_{t,t}$ in G', a contradiction. Hence, for each $(t-1)$-matching L in G'_M and each $y \in Y'_M \setminus V(L)$, there are at most $(t-1)$ many a_i-dense heavy t-matchings of G' containing L and y. Hence the number of a_i-dense heavy t-matchings in G'_M is at most $(t-1)\frac{(E'_M)^{t-1}}{(t-1)!}|Y'_M|$. By a similar argument, the number of b_i-dense heavy t-matchings in G'_M is at most $(t-1)\frac{(E')^{t-1}_M}{(t-1)!}|X'_M|$. Therefore, the total number of heavy t-matchings of $'G$ that lie in G'_M is at most $(t-1)^2\frac{(E'_M)^{t-1}}{(t-1)!}(|X'_M| + |Y'_M|) < \frac{t-1}{(t-2)!}(E'_M)^{t-1}V'_M$. ■

Claim 2. For each $M \in \mathcal{M}_1$, the number of light t-matchings of G' in G'_M is at least $\frac{1}{4}\frac{(E'_M)^t}{t!}$.

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_1$. By definition, $E'_M > 8tV'_M$. Obviously $\Delta(G'_M) \leq V'_M$. Since $E'_M > 4t^3\Delta(G'_M)$, by Lemma 5.3, the number of t-matchings in G'_M is at least $\mu' = \frac{1}{2}\frac{(E'_M)^t}{t!}$. By Claim 1, among them the number of heavy t-matchings is at most $\mu'' = \frac{(t-1)}{(t-2)!}(E'_M)^{t-1}V'_M$. Since $E'_M \geq 4t^3V'_M$, one can check that $\mu'' < \frac{1}{2}\mu'$. Hence, the number of light t-matchings of G' in G'_M is at least $\frac{1}{2}\mu' = \frac{1}{4}\frac{(E'_M)^t}{t!}$. ■

Let W denote the number of pairs (M, N) where $M \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and N is a light t-matching of G' that lies in G'_M. By Claim 2, (12), and convexity, we have

$$W \geq \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_1} \frac{1}{4} \frac{(E'_M)^t}{t!} \geq \frac{1}{4t!} \frac{(\sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_1} E'_M)^t}{|\mathcal{M}_1|^{t-1}} \geq \frac{1}{4t!} \frac{(\sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_1} E'_M)^t}{((E')^{t-1})^{t-1}} = \frac{1}{2^{5t^2+t+2}(t!)^{t+1}} \frac{(E')^{2t^2-1}}{a^{2t^2-2t} b^{2t^2-2t}}. \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, for each light t-matching N, by definition $E'_N \leq 4t^2$. So certainly there are at most $(4t^2)^{t-1} < 4^t t^{2t}$ many $(t-1)$-matchings M in $\mathcal{M}_1$ that lie in G'_N. Equivalently, N lies in G'_M for fewer than $4^t t^{2t}$ members of $\mathcal{M}_1$. Hence,

$$W \leq 4^t t^{2t} (E')^t. \tag{14}$$

By (13) and (14), we have

$$\frac{1}{2^{5t^2+t+2}(t!)^{t+1}} \frac{(E')^{2t^2-1}}{a^{2t^2-2t} b^{2t^2-2t}} \leq 4^t t^{2t} (E')^t.$$

Solving for E' and relaxing the inequalities along the way, we get

$$(E')^{2t^2-t-1} \leq 2^{5t^2+3t+2} t^{t^2+3t} a^{2t^2-2t} b^{2t^2-2t}.$$

$$E' \leq \left(2^{5t^2+3t+2} t^{t^2+3t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2t^2-t-1}} a^{\frac{2t}{2t+1}} b^{\frac{2t}{2t+1}} < 128t a^{\frac{2t}{2t+1}} b^{\frac{2t}{2t+1}}. \tag{15}$$

Since $E' \geq \frac{E}{64i^2j^2}$, $a = \frac{|A|}{2^i}$, $b = \frac{|B|}{2^j}$, we get

$$\frac{E}{64i^2j^2} < 128t \left(\frac{|A|}{2^i}\right)^{\frac{2t}{2t+1}} \left(\frac{|B|}{2^j}\right)^{\frac{2t}{2t+1}} < \frac{128t}{2^{\frac{4i}{5}} 2^{\frac{4j}{5}}} n^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}}.$$

Solving for E and using $\frac{i^2}{2^{4i/5}} < 2$ for all i, as in the proof of Lemma 6.1, we get

$$E < 2^{13} t \left(\frac{i^2}{2^{4i/5}}\right)^2 n^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}} < 2^{15} t n^{\frac{4t}{2t+1}}. \tag{16}$$

This contradicts our assumption about E and completes the proof. ■

7 Concluding remarks

Using supersaturation of the even cycle C_{2k} for n-vertex graphs with $\Omega(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}+\epsilon})$ edges, we can also give an affirmative answer to Question 1.3, for average degree d of the form $d = 2 + \frac{2}{p}$, for any integer $p \geq 2$. However, Question 1.3 is generally open for other rational numbers d. Perhaps a question that is more interesting is to explore the analogous problem for regular subgraphs of bounded order. There is a line of well-known prior work on the existence of regular subgraphs in "dense" host graphs. Answering a question of Erdős and Sauer [6], Pyber [12] proved that every n-vertex graph with at least $32k^2 n \ln n$ edges contains a k-regular subgraph. On the other hand, Pyber, Rödl, and

Szemerédi [13] established the existence of n-vertex bipartite graphs with $cn \ln\ln n$ edges that do not contain any regular subgraphs. It'll be interesting to explore the edge-density needed to force regular subgraphs of bounded order.

Problem 7.1 For all integers $m, d \geq 3$, let $\mathcal{R}_{d,m}$ denote the family of d-regular graphs on at most m vertices. Find good estimates on $ex(n, \mathcal{R}_{d,m})$.

An interesting family of d-regular graphs when $d = 2t$ is even is the t-*blowup* of a cycle, where the t-blowup of a graph is obtained by replacing each vertex with an independent set of t vertices and replacing each edge with the corresponding $K_{t,t}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_t$ denote the family of all t-blowups of cycles. We pose the following question on $\mathcal{C}_t$.

Question 7.2 *Is it true that for any* $\epsilon > 0$, $ex(n, \mathcal{C}_t) = O(n^{2-\frac{1}{t}+\epsilon})$*?*

Finally, it will be interesting to answer the question of Pinchasi and Sharir [11] on whether $ex(n, H_{s,t}) = O(n^{\frac{4s}{2s+1}})$ when $s < t$.

8 Acknowledgment

The first author is much indebted to Professor Vojtěch Rödl for stimulating discussions and for suggesting useful approaches on the problem during his visit of Emory University.

References

[1] N. Alon, J. Spencer, The Probabilistic Method, 3rd edition, Wiley-Interscience, 2008.

[2] B. Bukh: Set families with a forbidden subposet, *Electronic J. Combin.* **16** (2009), #R142.

[3] E. Boehnlein, T. Jiang: Set systems with a forbidden induced subposet, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **21**, (2012), 496-511.

[4] D. Conlon, J. Fox, B. Sudakov: An approximate version of Sidorenko's conjecture, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **20** (2010), 1354-1366.

[5] S. Das, C. Lee, B. Sudakov: Rainbow Turán problem for even cycles, *European J. Combinatorics* **34**, (2013), 905-915.

[6] P. Erdős: On the combinatorial problems that I would most like to see solved, *Combinatorica* **1** (1981), 25-42.

[7] A. Naor, J. Verstraëte: Parity check matrics and product representations of squares, *Combinatorica* **28** (2) (2008), 163-185.

[8] P. Erdős, M. Simonovits: Some extremal problems in graph theory, *Combinatorial Theory and Its Applications 1* (Proc. Colloq. Balatonfüred, 1969), North Holland, Amsterdam, 1970, 370-390.

[9] Z. Füredi, M. Simonovits: The history of the degenerate (bipartite) extremal graph problems, arXiv:1306.5167.

[10] S. Janson, T. Luczak, A. Rucinski, Random Graphs, John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 2000.

[11] R. Pinchasi, M. Sharir: On graphs that do not contain the cube and related problems, *Combinatorica* **25** (5) (2005), 615-623.

[12] L. Pyber: Regular subgraphs of dense graphs, *Combinatorica* **5** (1985), 347-349.

[13] L. Pyber, V. Rödl, E. Szemerédi: Graphs without 3-regular subgraphs, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B* **63** (1995), 41-54.

[14] M. Simonovits: Problem collection from the Institut Mittag-Leffler programme "Graphs, hypergraphs, and computing", Problem 9.1.

[15] J. Verstraëte: personal communications.

[16] D.B. West: Introduction to graph theory, second edition, Prentice Hall, 2001.